## INTERNATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF JUDGES

## 3<sup>d</sup> Study Commission

Theme: The judge and the execution of punishment

## Outline of Questions

- I. On what autority does the execution of prison sentences and measures of rehabilitation and prevention involving deprivation of liberty depend?
- II. For what sentences and measures ?
- III. Does the initiative and the control of prison sentences and measures fall within the competence of a jurisdicional penal authority (Court or Prosecuting Magistrate) or within that of an administrative penal authority?
  - IV. What are the modalities for applying the sanction (half-open or open institution, suspending and fractioning of the execution of the prison sentence, reduction of the sentence, conditional release, commutability of a penalty, short leave, pardon)?
    - V. As the punishment and the measures should be adapted to the person concerned, what are the possibilities of application, if necessary after consulting an advisory body?
- VI. Who is the person within the authority in charge, who actually decides on these modalities of application or modification or their cessation?
- VII. Do there exist any means of appeal against these decisions, and if so, to which authority and by which procedure? Contradictory or not?
- VIII. Who may make use of these means of appeal (the delinquent, the Public Prosecutor, the victim)?
  - IX. May the delinquent or the victim be assisted by an attorny during this procedure ?
  - X. Considering that 1983 marks the 35<sup>th</sup> anniversary of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (10<sup>th</sup> December 1948) what garantees are provided for the safeguard of Human Rights concerning the delinquent?
  - XI. Evaluation and possibly criticism of the existing system and suggestions for its improvement.