RAPPORT GENERAL

Union Internationale des Magistrats (U.I.M.); Réunion annuelle à Siófok, Hongrie 1ère commission d'études; 28 septembre – 2 october 2006 De quelle manière la nomination et l'évaluation (qualitative et quantitative) des juges est-elle mise en concordance avec les principes de l'indépendence judiciaire.

A. RESUME

Ce rapport général est basé sur les rapports de **32 pays** suivants ¹

Allemagne, Angleterre et Pays de Galles, Autriche, Belgique, Brésil, Bulgarie, Canada, Chypre, Côte d'Ivoire, Croatie, Danemark, Ecosse, Estonie, France, Hongrie, Islande, Italie, Japon, Lituanie, Liechtenstein, (F.Y.R.O.M.) Macédoine, Maroc, Mexique, Norvège, Pays-Bas, Pologne, Portugal, R.O.C. (Taiwan), Rép. Tchèque, Slovénie, Suède, Suisse, Uruguay

Note : Nous ne traiterons pas de la question de la nomination initiale du juge, parce que le but du présent rapport est de discuter de l'évaluation en vue du changement de fonction. La question de la première nomination a déjà fait l'objet de nombreuses discussions à la 1ère commission.

1 – Les évaluations peuvent-elles être à l'origine de la nomination d'un juge à une autre fonction ?

Pays	Réponses aux questions	Remarques additionnelles
Autriche	When there are applications for a new position, the "Personalsenat" (commission composed of 5 judges), which is in charge to make a proposal to the President of the Republic (or the minister of justice) whom to appoint, ranks the candidates. The "Personalsenat" will use the last regular assessment (see question 2) and collect additional information. A hearing is possible but not compulsory	
Belgique	Non. Les systèmes officiels d'évaluation ne sont jamais à l'origine de la nomination à une autre fonction.	La loi du 22 décembre 1998 a introduit dans le Code judiciaire un système dévaluation de fonction de magistrat. But: instaurer un contrôle périodique de la qualité interne dans chaque juridiction.
Brésil	There is an assessment by the tribunal to which the judge is related, financed by the National Council of Justice	To get a superior job in the hierarchy of the judiciary
Bulgarie	Yes there are competitive written and oral exams	

Les rapports arrivés après la date limite (.....) n'ont pas été pris en compte. Néanmoins ces rapports sont disponibles auprès des associations respectives ou du Secrétariat Général de l'UIM.

Canada	There is no formal mechanism in place for the assessment of judges who are candidates for a higher position in the judiciary. The decision rests with the Minster of Justice who probably makes informal inquiries.	
Croatiie	Judge can only be appointed to position within Ministry of Justice. On that occasion no assessment of his/her work. No formal assessment procedure	
Chypre	Not possible to assign to different function such as prosecutor, but may take up different judicial post. Continual assessment of work; no formal procedure.	
Rép. tchèque	There are no uniform provisions. For promotion to an appellate court the opinion of the appellate court is sought. For promotion to the position of president or vice – president of a court the decision of the Minister of Justice is decisive, although the opinion of the judicial council of the relevant court may be taken.	
Estonie	No.	The Supreme Court may appoint a judge to another court of the same level or a lower one by consent of the judge and on proposal of the Minister of Justice. A judge may be transferred to the Supreme Court or the Ministry of Justice at his request with the consent of the chairman of the court.
Allemagne	Whenever a judge wishes to be appointed to another position he is assessed by the president of the court.	Because the Länder have responsibility for judicial matters there are many differences between the 16 Länder
Hongrie	No there are no such assessments	
Islande	There is an assessment when applying for a position at the Supreme Court	The Icelandic court system has only two levels
Italie	There were radical proposals for changes by the previous government, but the new government is committed to stay those proposals. On the basis of the existing law, a judge advances to higher positions by means of assessments made by the High Council of the Judiciary (CSM); but the necessary seniority has to be obtained before promotion can be considered by the CSM. A judge or prosecutor may be declared fit for appointment to a more senior position without actually obtaining that position, but the judge/prosecutor will, in that circumstance, obtain a rise in salary.	
Côte d'Ivoire	Oui, les évaluations peuvent permettre de nommer un juge soit à un emploi supérieur, soit à un emploi inférieur si les évaluations	

	révèlent une insuffisance professionnelle.	
Japon	There are no assessments on the occasion of appointment to another position but the regular assessments (see question 2) are taken into account.	
Liechtenstein	There is not formal assessment procedure	
Lituanie	Yes; as part of the selection procedure if a judge applies for a position in a higher court; and when a judge is reappointed after the probationary term.	
Macédoine	Yes. For an appointment to the Appellate Court and the Administrative Courts at least 5 years of judicial experience are required followed by recognized working results. For an appointment to the Supreme Court: at least 8 years.	
Mexique	No. Evaluations do not exist.	
Norvège	Yes. All applicants will be assessed.	
Pologne	Yes, in certain circumstances when judges are appointed to the highest position in the court itself (e.g. from the Regional Courts to the Circle Courts and further on).	
Portugal	There are no assessments.	Promotion or appointment to other courts is based on the merit (= result of regular assessments) and the time of service.
ROC (Taiwan)	The Yuan (which carries out the same function as the Ministry of Justice) deals with applications for appointments of judges to another function.	
Slovénie	No. There is no formal assessment procedure.	
Suède	Yes. The judges are assessed on the merits by a recruitment committee within the Court Administration Agency.	
Suisse	Au niveau des juges d'instructions, il peut y avoir évaluation en cas de promotion. Pour ce qui est des juges de juridiction, il peut y avoir évaluation, en cas de place vacante à repourvoir, par l'autorité chargée de la nomination ou de l'élection d'un juge.	
Royaume Uni	There are assessments of candidates, but the degree of formality varies. The further up the judicial hierarchy a person gets, the less formal is the assessment. The system is currently being overhauled, because of the creation of a Judicial Appointments Commission, which will in future control the issue of assessment.	This represents the position in England and Wales. It is not exactly similar in Scotland, which maintains a separate and distinct system as does Northern Ireland.

– $Y\mbox{-a-t-il}$ évaluation pour d'autres raisons ?

Pays	Réponses aux questions	Remarques additionnelles
Autriche	Yes there is an assessment of every judge after	
	two years after the judge was appointed to a	
	new position. There might be an extraordinary	
	assessment if the president of the court or the	
	judge asks for if a change in the performance	
	of the judge might result in a change in the	
	outcome of the assessment.	
Belgique	Quand un juge postule pour une autre fonction,	
	un dossier est constitué de différents avis et sur	
	la base de ce dossier, le Conseil supérieur de la	
	justice choisit le candidat le plus apte pour la	
	fonction.	
Brésil	There is a regular assessment of the judged in	
	respect of quantity and quality of their work by	
	a institution ("Corregestorias") within the own	
	court	
Bulgarie	Yes on the occasion of achieving the status of	
-	irremovability and on being promoted to a	
	higher rank of office	
Canada	There are no formal assessments of	
	competence after appointment. There are	
	obvious informal reviews: (i) by the court of	
	appeal; (ii) by the chief justice if a particular	
	problem in a case arises that needs his	
	attention; (iii) by the press and other media	
	who make comments on particular cases.	
Croatie	There is assessment when the judge's	
	appointment for life is confirmed (after 5 years	
	in service); when there is a vacancy in a higher	
	court or when there is a vacancy for the	
	position of president of a court.	
Chypre	There is continuous assessment of the work of	
	each judge.	
Rép. tchèque	Not regularly, but there may be assessments in	
	cases where a judge has been investigated in	
	disciplinary proceedings.	
Estonie	No, but there are planes to create one.	
Allemagne	Yes: judges in trial courts will be assessed by	
	the president every $4 - 5$ years until the judge	
	is aged 50. Presiding judges and appellate	
	court judges will only be assessed when they	
	apply for a higher judicial post.	
Hongrie	Judges are assessed on achieving the status of	
-	immovability and twice again after 6 and 12	
	years; extraordinary assessment if there is a	
	procedure to establish the disability of a judge	
	or if a judge asks for an assessment	
Islande	No assessment but an annual review of how	
	many cases each judge has finished and how	
	many cases each juage has ministed and new	
	many are pending	
Italie	, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

	career.	
Côte d'Ivoire	Oui ; les évaluations sont faites chaque année judiciaire pour permettre l'avancement des magistrats dans les différents grades de la hiérarchie judiciaire	
Japon	There are annual assessment, the results thereof are used as reference material for the promotion of an assistant judge to a judge, for reappointment of a judge or for transfer of a judge	
Liechtenstein	There are assessments from time to time, but there is no legal provision	The constitution gives parliament the right to control the administration; but control of court decisions is expressly excluded from its control.
Lituanie	During the probationary term of 5 years judges are assessed after 2 years and at the end of the term; other judges are assessed every 10 years; extraordinary assessments can be carried out on the initiative of the president of the court, or the president of a court of higher instance or of the judge himself/herself.	
Macédoine	Yes. Assessment for the purpose of determining the salary of a judge.	
Mexique	Yes. There is an exam for the candidate for the office of a judge. There is also an evaluation after 6 years of service: reports on the incoming and outgoing files, the number of hearings per year, complaints of the public, exams in continuous training etc.	Realizar un curso de preparación al examen de juez y inscribir a la convocatoria, para que se realice las tres etapas del concurso de oposición (selection courses).
Norvège	No.	
Pologne	The judge is assessed about 9 months after appointment to the higher court to which he has been temporarily appointed.	
Portugal	There are assessments every 4 years at courts of 1 st instance. At courts of appeal level there may be assessments for judges who apply for the Supreme Court.	Assessments can also be initiated by the High Council of Justice.
ROC (Taiwan)	There is an annual assessment of the merits of the judges by a Merits Commission in each court. The Merits Commission of each court is composed of judges of the court that are elected for that purpose.	
Slovénie	A judge is assessed every 3 years by the Personal Council. In the first 3 years of judicial function a judge is assessed every year.	
Suède	Yes, in connection to a raise in salary.	New agreement between the parties of the labour market (will be in force on October 1 st 2006).
Suisse	Non, le système de réélection périodique des juges n'implique généralement pas d'évalutaion.	Il arrive qu'une commission de présentation doive donner un préavis sur la réélection des juges, ce qui implique une

		telle évaluation.
Royaume uni	Not generally, unless a judge is under	
	investigation in disciplinary proceedings.	

3 – Qui est chargé de ces évaluations ?

Pays	Réponses aux questions	Rmarques additionnelles
Autriche	The "Personalsenat" of the court, composed of	
	the president of the court, the oldest vice-	
	president and three judges elected by their	
	peers. There is the possibility of appeal to the	
	"Personalsenat" of the court of appeal.	
Belgique	Le ministère de la justice demande un avis	
	écrit sur chaque candidat aux personnes et aux	
	autorités de son milieu de travail et du lieur où	
	la place est vacante (chefs des corps concernés,	
	représentant de l'ordre local des avocats), Puis	
	les dossiers de nomination sont transmis au	
	Conseil supérieur de la magistrature. Là, ils sont confiés à la Commission de Nomination	
	et de Désignation (il y a une commission	
	francophone et néerlandophone). La	
	commission doit entendre le candidat qui le	
	demande. Cette procédure doit aboutir à la	
	présentation d'un candidat déterminé. La	
	décision de la commission exige la majorité	
	des deux tiers des membres. Si la Commission	
	refuse de faire une présentation, la place est à	
	nouveau déclaré vacante. Il incombe enfin au	
	Roi (au Ministère de la justice) de nommer	
	formellement la personne présentée.	
Brésil	See answer to question 2;	in addition the National
		Council of Justice can revise
		administrative acts of the courts
Bulgarie	The Supreme Judicial Council	according to the constitution
Canada	This does not apply as there is no formal	
	assessment.	
Croatie	The Council of Judges.	
Chypre	The supreme court council, consisting of 13	
	judges of the Supreme Court, which is	
	responsible for the appointment and promotion	
	of all judges except Supreme Court Judges.	
Rép. tchèque	This depends on the particular judicial post	
	concerned: see answer to question 1.	
Estonie	There is no assessment.	
Allemagne	The president of the courts	
Hongrie	The president of the court or another judge designated by the president	
Islande	The Supreme Court; the annual report is done	
	by the chief judges of each court	
Italie	The final assessment is always made by the	
	CSM.	
Côte d'Ivoire	les chefs de cours et de juridictions ainsi que	

	par les chefs des parquets. Pour les magistrats du siège c'est le président du tribunal ou le président de la cour d'appel. Pour les magistrats du parquet, c'est le procureur de la république ou le procureur général.				
Japon	The assessment is conducted by the chief judge of the court; the chief judge of the high court is in charge of coordinating the procedure.				
Liechtenstein	There are no legal regulations. Normally <i>ad hoc</i> an experienced judge form Austria or Switzerland is entrusted with the assessment				
Lituanie	The regular periodical assessments are made by an <i>ad hoc</i> assessment commission of 3 judges of a higher court, appointed by the president of that court; the assessments on the occasion of applying to a higher court are performed by the Selection Commission, which is formed for a period of 3 years, is composed of 7 members (2 appointed by the President of the Republic, 2 by the President of the Parliament, 2 by the President of the High Council of the Judiciary and 1 by the minister of Justice).	A new	law is in	discus	sion
Macédoine	Judicial Council of the Rep. of Macedonia.				
Mexique	The judges are responsible as well as other bodies: councils of the High Council of judges where it exists, Judicial Institutes (make so called "Exams of acutalization" "exámenes de actualización").				
Norvège	There is an independent Nomination Committee established by law with 7 members: 3 judges, 1 advocate, 1 lawyer working in the public sector and 2 others (not lawyers).				
Pologne	The Supervisory Departments established by various courts, including the Circle Courts, Court of Appeal and Supreme Court.				
Portugal	The High Council of Justice.				
ROC (Taiwan)	The Personnel Examination Committee of the Yuan is in charge of the assessments. The Committee consists of judges. The president of the Committee is the President of the Judicial Yuan.				
Slovénie	A Personnel Council. The Personnel Council of the Supreme Court assesses the candidates for the Supreme Court, the judges of the Supreme Court and those of the higher courts. The Personnel Council of the Higher Court is in charge of assessing the candidates for the Higher Courts and the judges of the District and County Courts. A Personnel Council of a District Court is assessing the candidates for District and County Courts.				
Suède	The chief judge in the specific court.				
Suisse	L'autorité d'élection ou de nomination.	Cette	autorité	peut	parfois

	Généralement il s'agit d'une autorité à caractère politique pour les juges de juridiction supérieure.	_
Royaume uni	At present it is a mixture of the Lord Chancellor, the Lord Chief Justice and the Judicial Appointments Commission. Within the next 2 years, it will become the exclusive responsibility of the Judicial Appointments Commission, which consists of judges, lawyers and non – lawyers and whose Chairman is a non – lawyer/judge.	

4 – SVP, décrivez la procédure d'évaluation.

Pays	Réponses aux questions	Remarques additionnelles
Autriche	The "Personalsenat" collects information by	
	asking the president of the court, the presiding	
	judges of the chambers which deal with the	
	remedies against the judgements of the judge,	
	looks at some statistics concerning the number	
	of cases, with an exceptional duration period,	
	sometimes looks at some of the files and	
	finally gives a written statement with reasons	
	and a final mark ranging from excellent to	
	incapable.	
Belgique	Voir 3.	
Brésil	There is no formal process; the criteria are	
	seniority and merits; the problem is that	
	"merit" has never been found to be a	
	satisfactorily objective criterion; in practice it	
	is necessary that the judge be in the first fifth	
	part of the seniority list; plus security,	
	efficiency, exercise of jurisdiction are taken	
	into account; together with the frequency and	
	progress in training courses, which are also	
	recognized	
Bulgarie	There is an evaluation of the work of the judge	
	in connection with his/her cases; there is a	
	statement of the administrative head of the	
	respective court; when applying to an other	
	court or other rank there is a competitive exam.	
	To achieve the status of irremovability the law	
	requires assessment for a longer period of time	
Canada	Not applicable	
Croatie	The Council of the judges of the Supreme	
	Court together with the presidents of the	
	Council of Judges of the Court of Appeal have	
	produced a "Methodology to assess Judge's	
	Performance", which sets out four grades of	
	performance (extraordinarily good to	
	unsatisfactory) and also whether the judge has	
	produced the number of decisions required and	

ſ	the time it has taken him to produce them. The	1
	the time it has taken him to produce them. The means of assessment is quantitative but more	
	weight is attached to quality than quantity.	
Chypre	It is not a formal process. But it is understood	
Спурте	that the Supreme Judicial Council will evaluate	
	the performance of each judge from time to	
	time. The bench is small so the Supreme Court	
	Judges know the work of each judge.	
Rép. tchèque	Not formally agreed. In cases of promotion the	
	opinions of the higher courts will be	
	considered.	
Estonie	There is no assessment	
Allemagne	There is no formal procedure. The presiding	
	judge will prepare an assessment at the request	
	of the president of the court. The assessment	
	will evaluate the last $4 - 5$ years of the judge's	
	work.	
Hongrie	The whole complex work of the judge is taken	
	into account; how the judge applies the law	
	and the procedural law and the order of the	
T 1 1	prosecution.	T d
Islande	All the nine justices of the Supreme Court give	In the recent past the minister
	a report on the candidate	of justice has not always
		followed the result of the
		assessment, which has
		promoted a debate amongst the public.
Italie	When a position becomes vacant – eg. in the	the public.
Italic	Court of Appeal or Court of Cassation, the	
	CSM publishes the post and all eligible are	
	invited to apply if they wish to do so. On the	
	basis of the application and documents	
	submitted, the CSM selects the most suitable	
	candidate in accordance with published rules.	
Côte d'Ivoire	Chaque année, chaque magistrat reçoit un	
	bulletin de notation:qu'il remplit et soumet à	
	son supérieur hiérarchique en vue de sa	
	notation.	
	Les éléments de la notation sont : tenue et	
	présentation, bon sens, jugement, esprit de	
	synthèse culture générale, autorité, sens des	
	responsabilité, sens de caractère, pondération,	
	sens de la mesure. puissance de travail	
	méthode et sens de l'organisation,	
	connaissance juridique et sens de l'application	
	du droit, qualité de rédaction aptitude à la	
	présidence des audiences qualité	
Iomor	d'administrateur, aptitude à la parole.	
Japon	Information is collected form inside and	
	outside the court from various perspectives.	
	There is an interview with the judge, and documents on the cases the judge has	
	administered are looked at	
Liechtenstein	Each department is assessed; the judicial work	
Licentenstein	is mainly examined for delays in the procedure	
	and the delays in rendering judgements.	
	Jageinenes.	1

Lituanie	In every assessment procedure data are	
	collected (statistics, opinions of president of	
	court, of division of higher court and so on).	
	The collected material will be handed to the	
	judge who can comment on it. There is a	
	hearing of the commission where the judge is	
	questioned about the findings and his/her	
	performance. Then the commission gives	
	written conclusions with reasons. In the case of	
	a hearing of the Selection Commission the	
	candidates are given marks from 1 to 10 and	
	the result is a ranking list.	
Macédoine	A member of the Judicial Council has to	
1110000001110	supervise the work of a specific judge. He/she	
	makes a report for the Council.	
Mexique	Productivity (number of settled cases), quality	
Mexique	of judgements according to numbers of	
	appealed cases, quality of sentences	
	(argumentation, form, size, editing),	
	punctuality and presence, participation in	
	training and scientific courses, special	
	knowledge, lectures at the judicial schools of	
	the tribunals, exams of "actualization" etc.	
Norvège	Interviews with the applicants. No formal	
Norvege	procedure. The there is a discussion in the	
	plenary commission who makes a nomination	
	of three candidates (by majority vote). The	
	King (government) will finally appoint the	
D-1	judge out of the three nominees.	
Pologne	An initial assessment is made by the	
	Supervisory Department of the Court where	
	the judge hopes to work. After a judge has	
	been working in the new post for some time,	
	he is assessed again. If his assessment is	
	satisfactory, then he is confirmed in his	
	appointment. But there are, at present, no	
	formal criteria and the Polish Judges	
	Association has pressed that such criteria be	
	established.	
Portugal	A so called "inspector", nominated by the HCJ	
	evaluates the judge's performance by	
	examining the case handling of the judge at his	
	court.	
ROC (Taiwan)	The Judicial Yuan considers: seniority; the	
	merits of the judge's career over the last 5	
	years; his disciplinary record over the same	
	period and his age – seniority is given priority.	
Slovénie	Monitoring by the Judicial Council (?).	
Suède	Discussion between the judge and the chief	
	judge. This serves as a basis for the	
	negotiations between the Court Administration	
	Agency and "Jusek", the Association of	
	Graduates in Law. The judge's raise of salary	
	will be decided between the parties in a	
	collective agreement.	
	Pas de procédure formelle.	

5 – L'évaluation a-t-elle un aspect quantitatif (ex: nombre d'affaires jugées ; de dossiers) SVP décrivez la. Quels sont les éléments pris en compte?

Pays	Réponses aux questions	Remarques additionnelles
Autriche	Only insofar, as there is an examination of-the	
	statistics if the judge has a remarkable different	
	number of long pending cases, or judgements	
	which have not been delivered within a certain	
	time, compared with other judges. The figures	
	are compared with-the number of new cases	
	and the over all workload.	
Belgique	Non. L'aspect quantitatif proprement dit n'est	Sauf prestations insuffisantes
	pas pris en compte. Il n'y a pas de système	claires au niveau de la
	objectif en Belgique pour mesurer la charge de	quantité d'affaires traitées.
	travail.	
Brésil	Every month every judge fills in a form of	
	statistics (number of sentences, of hearings, of	
	witnesses, cases handled); this is considered at	
	assessments	
Bulgarie	Every aspect of the judicial conduct is taken	
	into account. The most important are the	
	number of cases undertaken and the results of	
	the appeals	
Canada	Not applicable.	
Croatie	Monthly monitoring of the number of cases,	
	but more weight attached to quality than	
	quantity. But informally there are rules about	
	how many cases a judge should do.	
Chypre	The number of cases considered is monitored	
	monthly. However more weight is attached to	
	quality than quantity.	
Rép. tchèque	No statistics to suggest quantitative.	
Estonie	There is no assessment	
Allemagne	The assessment of a judge should be in	
	accordance with Art 33 Se 2 of the German	
	Basic Law. The effect of that is that is that the	
	quality of the work should be of first	
	importance.	
Hongrie	There is a quantitative character	
Islande	The report on number of cases (see question 2)	
T. 11	is not meant to be an assessment	
Italie	Yes; the number of cases undertaken and files	
	completed are considered in the evaluation of	
GA 117	each candidate – as well as other factors.	
Côte d'Ivoire	: l'évaluation a un caractère qualitatif et	
	quantitatif. Les éléments pris en compte sont	
	ceux énumérés ci-dessus	

Japon	One aspect in the assessment is the capability to handle cases appropriately, which includes making a judgement within a reasonable period; here various statistical data are used	
Liechtenstein	Only insofar as effective and rapid work are taken into consideration	
Lituanie	Quantitative criteria are only used among other criteria; they do not determine the result of the assessment. In particular, the number of judgements reversed on appeal cannot be regarded as a criterion for determining the quality of judicial activities	
Macédoine	The assessment includes a consideration of the expected and realized/managed amount of cases, engagement in additional work such as mentoring, training etc.,	
Mexique	See above. In principle, productivity is evaluated according to the number of sentences passed. The quality is evaluated, too, according to the number of cases which were appealed.	
Norvège	No. In rare cases it could be an argument against a judge applying for a new position that he/she is slow in proceeding with cases.	
Pologne	There is no direct quantitative assessment of a judge's work.	
Portugal	There is a quantitative element in the assessment: number of cases handled by the judge, number of settled cases, respecting time limits in delivering decisions, number of daily hearings, number of appeals, results of previous assessments, length of tenure of office.	There is no ruling prescribing the number of cases settled by a judge.
ROC (Taiwan)	The Yuan takes into account the following quantitative characteristics: (i) number of cases undertaken, (ii) files completed; (iii) files delayed beyond the time permitted (usually 16 months for civil and criminal cases).	
Slovénie	The number of settled cases is of prime importance. The Judicial Council determinates the minimum number of cases that has to be dealt with by each judge. The number of cases settled by each judge (monthly and annually) is monitored for reasons of assessment and statistics.	
Suède	No, there is no direct quantitative assessment of a judge's work.	
Suisse	L'évaluation s'effectue sur la base de l'aptitude du juge à exercer sa fonction et l'efficacité démontrée jusqu'alors dans celle-ci.	Il se peut que la rapidité dans le traitement des dossiers soit un des critères d'appréciation.
Royaume uni	The assessment, such as it is, is much more qualitative then quantitative.	

– L'évaluation a-t-elle un caractère qualitatif (ex: quelqu'un évalue-t-il aussi bien le travail du juge que le nombre de ses tâches) ? SVP développez. Quels sont les éléments pris en compte?

Pays	Réponses auy questions	Remarques additionnelles
Autriche	Insofar as the reports of the presiding judges of	1
	the chambers dealing with the remedies	
	sometimes comment on the application of the	
	procedural law, the way how reasons of	
	judgement are given, how effective the judge	
	deals with cases etc.	
Belgique	L'évaluation intermédiaire a un caractère	Voir: article 259 nonies Code
	qualitatif. L'évaluation porte sur des critères	judiciaire.
	portant sur la personalité ainsi que sur les	
	capacités intellectuelles, professionnelles er organisationnelles. L'évaluation périodique	
	(tous les 3 ans pour les magistrats du siège). a	
	aussi un caractère qualitatif. L'évaluation lors	
	d'une postulation pour un autre fonction est	Voir:
	basé sur les différents formulaires d'avis.	www.hrj.be/FR/index.htm
Brésil	Yes the quality of decisions is considered but	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	not the contents itself; the "legal security" is	
	taken into account; but there are no concise	
	facts about this. The way judicial activity is	
	assessed is very subjective (might be a concern	
	of internal independence)	
Bulgarie	Yes the legal complexity of the cases decided	
	is taken into account, as well as the ratio	
	between the cases approved by the higher	
	instances and the total number of cases decided	
Canada	Not applicable.	
Croatie	Yes. See 5 above.	
Chypre	Yes. See 5 above.	
Rép. tchèque	Yes. Either when an appellate panel considers	
	the quality of the judgments of a judge of first	
	instance or when a judge has a study visit to a higher court. The assessment considers	
	higher court. The assessment considers whether the judge has correctly followed the	
	substantive and procedural law and also the	
	quality of the written judgment itself.	
Estonie	There is no assessment	
Allemagne	Yes. See 5 above.	
Hongrie	Yes	
Islande	The quality of the judicial work and other	In a small country like Iceland
	work of legal nature is taken into	normally the performance of
	consideration, mainly based from the cases	each candidate is widely
	which had come to the Supreme Court.	known
	Besides other activities (e.g. teaching at	
	University) play a role.	
Italie	Impartiality, diligence, seriousness and	
	professionalism and the degree of vocational	
	training undertaken are all relevant factors to	
CO. UT.	be considered.	
Côte d'Ivoire	: l'évaluation a un caractère qualitatif et	
	quantitatif. Les éléments pris en compte sont	
	ceux énumérés ci-dessus	

I lanon I line following canabilities are taken into I	
Japon The following capabilities are taken into account: case handling capabilities,	
organizational operation capabilities, and	
general capabilities to serve as a judge.	
Liechtenstein Only in aspect of effectiveness looking at	
delays or no delays	
Lituanie There exist criteria issued by the Judicial	
Council: efficiency of work, organizational	
abilities, ethics, professional culture, absence	
of disciplinary sanctions, intensity of in-	
service training; theoretical and legal	
knowledge, personal characteristics like	
behaviour to the parties, fairness etc.	
Macédoine Yes. Expert knowledge, postgraduate studies,	
continuing education, conducting the hearings,	
internal and external communicative skills,	
verbal and written expression, managerial	
tasks.	
Mexique See answers to question 4.	
Norvège Yes, in general terms.	
Pologne There are no formal qualitative criteria during	
assessment, but it assists if sentences/decisions	
have been approved by the Court of Appeal.	
The degree of difficulty of cases undertaken by	
the judge may also be a relevant factor.	
Portugal Yes. Nature and difficulties of the cases are	
taken into consideration as well as the ability	
of the judge to simplify the proceedings.	
Furthermore: working conditions, technical	
preparation, management of the agenda,	
individual capacity, and publications on legal	
matters, civic integrity.	
ROC (Taiwan) Yes. This comes about as part of the appeal	
process; any disciplinary process and a	
supervision of the behaviour of judges both	
within court and outside.	
Slovénie Professional knowledge, working abilities,	
protection of judge's and court's reputation, oral and written communication, social	
competence, managerial skills.	
Suède Yes. An assessment in connection with a	
salary raise is made on elements such as	
experience, ability to take responsibilities for	
the result	
Suisse Cf. ci-dessus.	
Royaume uni There is no formal qualitative evaluation. But	
the quality of judgments is assessed by the	
court that hears any appeal. If there are	
complaints against the judgment then the	
quality will be assessed as part of an	
investigation of the complaint.	

7 – Le nombre et/ou les résultats d 'affaires traitées par le juge jouent-ils un rôle au niveau de l'évaluation? SVP, expliquez.

Pays	Réponses aux questions	Remarques additionnelles
Autriche	Only insofar as a special effectiveness can be	
	discovered, without harming the quality.	
Belgique	Non. Le résultat des affaires traitées ne peut	
<i>C</i> 1	être pris en considération pour l'évaluation. Il	
	paraît cependant clair que si les décisions d'un	
	juge étaient systématiquement réformées ou	
	cassées, l'évaluation de sa compétence	
	professionnelle en serait affectée.	
Brésil	See answer to question 5	
Bulgarie	Yes the assessment counts the work load of	
	every judge	
Canada	Not applicable	
Croatie	See 4 and 5 above.	
Chypre	See 5 above.	
Rép. tchèque	Usually this is only relevant if there is a	Since 1989 it has been most
	complaint against a judge or there is otherwise	unusual for there to be any
	an investigation into the judge's work for some	assessment based on the
	reason.	outcome of cases or the number of cases considered by
		a judge.
Estonie	There is no assessment	a juuge.
Allemagne	See 5 above.	
Hongrie	Yes, both components play an important role	
Islande	Not directly, see above	
Italie	Not relevant save that if the judge has done	
	more cases than would be expected of him,	
	that is a plus point.	
Côte d'Ivoire	l'évaluation est faite de façon discrétionnaire	
	par des personnes habilitées à cet effet à savoir	
	les chefs de cours et de juridiction. Ceux	
	peuvent prendre en contre les aspects	
	quantitatifs c'est à dire le nombre de dossiers	
	traités et qualitatifs c'est-à-dire la qualité des	
	décisions rendus la durée es traitements des	
Ionon	dossiers Assessments do not cover whether or not	
Japon	individual judgements are appropriate	
Liechtenstein	No	The cases are allocated in
Licentenstem	110	advance assigning
		approximately the same
		workload to each judge
Lituanie	They are taken into account together with other	J G -
	criteria (see question 5)	
Macédoine	Yes. Expected and realized work (see above).	
Mexico	Yes, because this is the basic data of the	"Ante más revocadas, mayor
	assessment of the quality of the judicial work.	error y mala calidad."
	The higher the number of appeals which are	
	approved the worse is the quality of the	
NT	judgement.	
Norway	No.	
Pologne	There are no specific "target" numbers of cases	

	to be achieved. The outcome of particular cases is irrelevant – indeed consideration of	
	that factor is prohibited because it might be an	
	interference with judicial independence.	
Portugal	Only the number of cases plays an important	
Tortugar	role in the assessment, not the outcome of a	
	case.	
ROC (Taiwan)	This is not generally a factor, unless the judge	
Roc (ranwan)	has handled less than an average number of	
	cases. No consideration is given to the	
	outcome of the cases.	
Slovénie	See answer to question 5. The most important	
	indicator for the assessment is the ratio	
	between the total number of settled cases and	
	the cases approved by the higher instances.	
Suède	No, neither the productivity nor the outcome of	
	a judgement play any role in the assessment.	
Suisse	Cf. ci-dessus.	
Royaume uni	Yes. Most obviously the outcome of a case is	
	considered if there is an appeal from the	
	judgment to the appeal court, when the higher	
	court must consider the outcome. But this	
	consideration is not used formally as part of an	
	assessment of the judge whose judgment is	
	appealed. The number of cases considered by	
	a judge does not play any part in assessment	
	unless it appears that the judge has been	
	extremely slow with hearings or dilatory in	
	producing judgments.	

8 – Est-ce que la durée d'une affaire traitée par le juge est un élément pris en compte dans l'évaluation? SVP, développez.

Pays	Réponses aux questions	Remarques additionnelles
Autriche	As one of the aspects the number of long	
	lasting cases is compared with the workload	
	and with the performance of other judges.	
Belgique	Non, mais si un juge est systématiquement en	
	retard dans le prononcé des ses jugements, il	
	semble évident que cela pourra influencer son	
	évaluation,	
Brésil	Usually yes; but it is taken into account that	
	some cases take a longer time out of their	
	nature; disregard of judges in the conduction	
	is considered	
Bulgarie	Yes the number of times and the grounds the a	For failure to follow the law
	case has been delayed and the responsible	on these matters judges bear
	persons and the sanctions imposed on the	disciplinary sanctions
	parties for having caused ungrounded delay are	
	counted	
Canada	Not applicable.	
Croatie	Not at present	
Chypre	The time between the end of a trial and	
	delivery of judgment is monitored. It must	

Rép. tchèque		not exceed 6 months for a trial and 2 months	
but every 3 months a president of a court will monitor "old cases" which have not been decided within 3 to 5 years. If one judge has a high number of such cases, then a procedure to assist/control the judge will be started. Disciplinary procedures are possible in extreme cases. Estonie There is no assessment Allemagne This is considered if, without good reason, the judge does not finish a case within a reasonable time. Hongrie Yes. The assessment counts the frequency and the grounds of the procrastinations, as well as the sanctions against the judge, who does not finish the cases within a reasonable time Islande Effectiveness is important. If a judge is exceptionally slow this may have a negative effect on the assessment; but speed has to go hand in hand with quality Italie No, unless a delay was totally unjustified. Côte d'Ivoire Voir 7 Japon Assessments do not cover whether or not the manner in which a judge handled individual cases is appropriate Liechtenstein Only if there are delays in handling the cases or rendering judgements Lituanie Only if there is a violation of procedural terms fixed by law Yes. The judge should resolve cases within a reasonable time. If this time is exceeded, the party is entitled to take action against the judge (art. 36 Law of Courts) before a higher court. Mexique It is a part of the assessment of the productivity. The time which a judge needs for settling the cases is taken into consideration. Norvège No. Pologne No Portugal Yes, if the judge does not finish the case in a reasonable time. ROC (Taiwan) The normal time for consideration of a case is 16 months. If the judge has too many delayed case and more than the average number, this will mean he will be marked down by the Merits Committee. Slovévie Yes, if the judgement is monitored on a monthly basis. Suède No. Suisse Il n'y a en principe pas de référence à une ou des affaires déterminées. L'appréciation se porte sur l'ensemble de l'activité du juge		for applications.	
but every 3 months a president of a court will monitor "old cases" which have not been decided within 3 to 5 years. If one judge has a high number of such cases, then a procedure to assist/control the judge will be started. Disciplinary procedures are possible in extreme cases. Estonie There is no assessment Allemagne This is considered if, without good reason, the judge does not finish a case within a reasonable time. Hongrie Yes. The assessment counts the frequency and the grounds of the procrastinations, as well as the sanctions against the judge, who does not finish the cases within a reasonable time Islande Effectiveness is important. If a judge is exceptionally slow this may have a negative effect on the assessment; but speed has to go hand in hand with quality Italie No, unless a delay was totally unjustified. Côte d'Ivoire Voir 7 Japon Assessments do not cover whether or not the manner in which a judge handled individual cases is appropriate Liechtenstein Only if there are delays in handling the cases or rendering judgements Lituanie Only if there is a violation of procedural terms fixed by law Yes. The judge should resolve cases within a reasonable time. If this time is exceeded, the party is entitled to take action against the judge (art. 36 Law of Courts) before a higher court. Mexique It is a part of the assessment of the productivity. The time which a judge needs for settling the cases is taken into consideration. Norvège No. Pologne No Portugal Yes, if the judge does not finish the case in a reasonable time. ROC (Taiwan) The normal time for consideration of a case is 16 months. If the judge has too many delayed case and more than the average number, this will mean he will be marked down by the Merits Committee. Slovévie Yes, if the judgement is monitored on a monthly basis. Suède No. Suisse Il n'y a en principe pas de référence à une ou des affaires déterminées. L'appréciation se porte sur l'ensemble de l'activité du juge	Rép. tchèque		
decided within 3 to 5 years. If one judge has a high number of such cases, then a procedure to assist/control the judge will be started. Disciplinary procedures are possible in extreme cases. Estonie There is no assessment Allemagne This is considered if, without good reason, the judge does not finish a case within a reasonable time. Hongrie Ves. The assessment counts the frequency and the grounds of the procrastinations, as well as the sanctions against the judge, who does not finish the cases within a reasonable time. Islande Effectiveness is important. If a judge is exceptionally slow this may have a negative effect on the assessment; but speed has to go hand in hand with quality No, unless a delay was totally unjustified. Côte d'Ivoire Voir 7 Japon Assessments do not cover whether or not the manner in which a judge handled individual cases is appropriate Litechtenstein Only if there are delays in handling the cases or rendering judgements Lituanie Only if there is a violation of procedural terms fixed by law Macédoine Yes. The judge should resolve cases within a reasonable time. If this time is exceeded, the party is entitled to take action against the judge (art. 36 Law of Courts) before a higher court. Mexique It is a part of the assessment of the productivity. The time which a judge needs for settling the cases is taken into consideration. Norvège No. Portugal Yes, if the judge does not finish the case in a reasonable time. ROC (Taiwan) The normal time for consideration of a case is 16 months. If the judge has too many delayed cases and more than the average number, this will mean he will be marked down by the Merits Committee. Slovévie Yes, if the judgement is monitored on a monthly basis. Suède No. Pottuge In a cap principe pas de référence à une ou des affaires déterminées. L'appréciation se porte sur l'ensemble de l'activité du juge			
high number of such cases, then a procedure to assist/control the judge will be started. Disciplinary procedures are possible in extreme cases. Estonic There is no assessment Allemagne This is considered if, without good reason, the judge does not finish a case within a reasonable time. Hongrie Yes. The assessment counts the frequency and the grounds of the procrastinations, as well as the sanctions against the judge, who does not finish the cases within a reasonable time. Islande Effectiveness is important. If a judge is exceptionally slow this may have a negative effect on the assessment; but speed has to go hand in hand with quality Italie No, unless a delay was totally unjustified. Côte d'Ivoire Voir 7 Japon Assessments do not cover whether or not the manner in which a judge handled individual cases is appropriate Liechtenstein Only if there are delays in handling the cases or rendering judgements Lituanie Only if there are delays in handling the cases or rendering judgements Lituanie Only if there is a violation of procedural terms fixed by law Macédoine Yes. The judge should resolve cases within a reasonable time. If this time is exceeded, the party is entitled to take action against the judge (art. 36 Law of Courts) before a higher court. Mexique It is a part of the assessment of the productivity. The time which a judge needs for settling the cases is taken into consideration. Norvège No. Pologne No. Portugal Yes, if the judge does not finish the case in a reasonable time. The normal time for consideration of a case is I 6 months. If the judge hand ease and more than the average number, this will mean he will be marked down by the Merits Committee. Slowévie Yes. The time between the end of a trial and the delivery of the judgment is monitored on a monthly basis. Suède No. Suisse Il n'y a en principe pas de référence à une ou des affaires déterminées. L'appréciation se porte sur l'ensemble de l'activité du juge			
assist/control the judge will be started. Disciplinary procedures are possible in extreme cases. Estonie There is no assessment Allemagne This is considered if, without good reason, the judge does not finish a case within a reasonable time. Hongrie Yes. The assessment counts the frequency and the grounds of the procrastinations, as well as the sanctions against the judge, who does not finish the cases within a reasonable time. Islande Effectiveness is important. If a judge is exceptionally slow this may have a negative effect on the assessment; but speed has to go hand in hand with quality Italie No, unless a delay was totally unjustified. Côte d'Ivoire Voir 7 Japon Assessments do not cover whether or not the manner in which a judge handled individual cases is appropriate Lituanie Only if there are delays in handling the cases or rendering judgements Lituanie Only if there is a violation of procedural terms fixed by law Macédoine Yes. The judge should resolve cases within a reasonable time. If this time is exceeded, the party is entitled to take action against the judge (art. 36 Law of Courts) before a higher court. Mexique It is a part of the assessment of the productivity. The time which a judge needs for settling the cases is taken into consideration. Norvège No. Pologne No Portugal Yes, if the judge does not finish the case in a reasonable time. ROC (Taiwan) The normal time for consideration of a case is 16 months. If the judge has too many delayed cases and more than the average number, this will mean he will be marked down by the Merits Committee. Slovévie Yes. The time between the end of a trial and the delivery of the judgment is monitored on a monthly basis. Suède No. Il n'y a en principe pas de référence à une ou des affaires déterminées. L'appréciation se porte sur l'ensemble de l'activité du juge		•	
Disciplinary procedures are possible in extreme cases. Estonie There is no assessment Allemagne This is considered if, without good reason, the judge does not finish a case within a reasonable time. Hongrie Yes. The assessment counts the frequency and the grounds of the procrastinations, as well as the sanctions against the judge, who does not finish the cases within a reasonable time. Islande Effectiveness is important. If a judge is exceptionally slow this may have a negative effect on the assessment; but speed has to go hand in hand with quality Italie No, unless a delay was totally unjustified. Côte d'Ivoire Voir 7 Japon Assessments do not cover whether or not the manner in which a judge handled individual cases is appropriate Licehtenstein Only if there are delays in handling the cases or rendering judgements Lituanie Only if there is a violation of procedural terms fixed by law Macédoine Yes. The judge should resolve cases within a reasonable time. If this time is exceeded, the party is entitled to take action against the judge (art. 36 Law of Courts) before a higher court. Mexique It is a part of the assessment of the productivity. The time which a judge needs for settling the cases is taken into consideration. Norvège No. Pologne No Portugal Yes, if the judge does not finish the case in a reasonable time. ROC (Taiwan) The normal time for consideration of a case is 16 months. If the judge has too many delayed cases and more than the average number, this will mean he will be marked down by the Merits Committee. Slovévie Yes. The time between the end of a trial and the delivery of the judgment is monitored on a monthly basis. Suède No. Suisse Il n'y a en principe pas de référence à une ou des affaires déterminées. L'appréciation se porte sur l'ensemble de l'activité du juge		-	
Estonie There is no assessment Allemagne This is considered if, without good reason, the judge does not finish a case within a reasonable time. Hongrie Yes. The assessment counts the frequency and the grounds of the procrastinations, as well as the sanctions against the judge, who does not finish the cases within a reasonable time. Islande Effectiveness is important. If a judge is exceptionally slow this may have a negative effect on the assessment; but speed has to go hand in hand with quality Italie No, unless a delay was totally unjustified. Côte d'Ivoire Voir 7 Japon Assessments do not cover whether or not the manner in which a judge handled individual cases is appropriate Liechtenstein Only if there are delays in handling the cases or rendering judgements Lituanie Only if there are delays in handling the cases or rendering judgements Lituanie Tyes. The judge should resolve cases within a reasonable time. If this time is exceeded, the party is entitled to take action against the judge (art. 36 Law of Courts) before a higher court. Mexique It is a part of the assessment of the productivity. The time which a judge needs for settling the cases is taken into consideration. Norvège No. Pologne No Portugal Yes, if the judge does not finish the case in a reasonable time. ROC (Taiwan) The normal time for consideration of a case is 16 months. If the judge has too many delayed cases and more than the average number, this will mean he will be marked down by the Merits Committee. Slovévie Yes, The time between the end of a trial and the delivery of the judgment is monitored on a monthly basis. Suède No. Suisse Il n'ya en principe pas de référence à une ou des affaires déterminées. L'appréciation se porte sur l'ensemble de l'activité du juge		0 0	
Estonie There is no assessment Allemagne This is considered if, without good reason, the judge does not finish a case within a reasonable time. Hongrie Yes. The assessment counts the frequency and the grounds of the procrastinations, as well as the sanctions against the judge, who does not finish the cases within a reasonable time. Islande Effectiveness is important. If a judge is exceptionally slow this may have a negative effect on the assessment; but speed has to go hand in hand with quality. Italie No, unless a delay was totally unjustified. Otie d'Ivoire Voir 7 Japon Assessments do not cover whether or not the manner in which a judge handled individual cases is appropriate Liechtenstein Only if there are delays in handling the cases or rendering judgements Lituanie Only if there is a violation of procedural terms fixed by law Macédoine Yes. The judge should resolve cases within a reasonable time. If this time is exceeded, the party is entitled to take action against the judge (art. 36 Law of Courts) before a higher court. Mexique It is a part of the assessment of the productivity. The time which a judge needs for settling the cases is taken into consideration. Norvège No. Portugal Yes, if the judge does not finish the case in a reasonable time. ROC (Taiwan) The normal time for consideration of a case is 16 months. If the judge has too many delayed cases and more than the average number, this will mean he will be marked down by the Merits Committee. Slovévie Yes. The time between the end of a trial and the delivery of the judgment is monitored on a monthly basis. Suède No. Suisse Il n'y a en principe pas de référence à une ou des affaires déterminées. L'appréciation se porte sur l'ensemble de l'activité du juge			
Allemagne This is considered if, without good reason, the judge does not finish a case within a reasonable time. Hongrie Yes. The assessment counts the frequency and the grounds of the procrastinations, as well as the sanctions against the judge, who does not finish the cases within a reasonable time. Islande Effectiveness is important. If a judge is exceptionally slow this may have a negative effect on the assessment; but speed has to go hand in hand with quality. Italie No, unless a delay was totally unjustified. Côte d'Ivoire Voir 7 Japon Assessments do not cover whether or not the manner in which a judge handled individual cases is appropriate Liechtenstein Only if there are delays in handling the cases or rendering judgements Lituanie Only if there is a violation of procedural terms fixed by law Macédoine Yes. The judge should resolve cases within a reasonable time. If this time is exceeded, the party is entitled to take action against the judge (art. 36 Law of Courts) before a higher court. Mexique It is a part of the assessment of the productivity. The time which a judge needs for settling the cases is taken into consideration. Norvège No. Pologne No Portugal Yes, if the judge does not finish the case in a reasonable time. ROC (Taiwan) The normal time for consideration of a case is 16 months. If the judge has too many delayed cases and more than the average number, this will mean he will be marked down by the Merits Committee. Slovévie Yes. The time between the end of a trial and the delivery of the judgement is monitored on a monthly basis. Suède No. In ya en principe pas de référence à une ou des affaires déterminées. L'appréciation se porte sur l'ensemble de l'activité du juge			
Judge does not finish a case within a reasonable time.			
Hongrie Yes. The assessment counts the frequency and the grounds of the procrastinations, as well as the sanctions against the judge, who does not finish the cases within a reasonable time Islande Effectiveness is important. If a judge is exceptionally slow this may have a negative effect on the assessment; but speed has to go hand in hand with quality Italie No, unless a delay was totally unjustified. Côte d'Ivoire Voir 7 Japon Assessments do not cover whether or not the manner in which a judge handled individual cases is appropriate Liechtenstein Only if there are delays in handling the cases or rendering judgements Lituanie Only if there is a violation of procedural terms fixed by law Macédoine Yes. The judge should resolve cases within a reasonable time. If this time is exceeded, the party is entitled to take action against the judge (art. 36 Law of Courts) before a higher court. Mexique It is a part of the assessment of the productivity. The time which a judge needs for settling the cases is taken into consideration. Norvège No. Pologne No Portugal Yes, if the judge does not finish the case in a reasonable time. ROC (Taiwan) The normal time for consideration of a case is 16 months. If the judge has too many delayed cases and more than the average number, this will mean he will be marked down by the Merits Committee. Slovévie Yes. The time between the end of a trial and the delivery of the judgment is monitored on a monthly basis. Suède No. Suisse Il ny a en principe pas de référence à une ou des affaires déterminées. L'appréciation se porte sur l'ensemble de l'activité du juge	Allemagne		
Hongrie Yes. The assessment counts the frequency and the grounds of the procrastinations, as well as the sanctions against the judge, who does not finish the cases within a reasonable time Effectiveness is important. If a judge is exceptionally slow this may have a negative effect on the assessment; but speed has to go hand in hand with quality Italie No, unless a delay was totally unjustified. Côte d'Ivoire Voir 7 Japon Assessments do not cover whether or not the manner in which a judge handled individual cases is appropriate Liechtenstein Only if there are delays in handling the cases or rendering judgements Lituanie Only if there is a violation of procedural terms fixed by law Macédoine Yes. The judge should resolve cases within a reasonable time. If this time is exceeded, the party is entitled to take action against the judge (art. 36 Law of Courts) before a higher court. Mexique It is a part of the assessment of the productivity. The time which a judge needs for settling the cases is taken into consideration. Norvège No. Pologne No Portugal Yes, if the judge does not finish the case in a reasonable time. ROC (Taiwan) The normal time for consideration of a case is 16 months. If the judge has too many delayed cases and more than the average number, this will mean he will be marked down by the Merits Committee. Slovévie Yes. The time between the end of a trial and the delivery of the judgment is monitored on a monthly basis. Suède No. Suisse Il n'y a en principe pas de référence à une ou des affaires déterminées. L'appréciation se porte sur l'ensemble de l'activité du juge			
the grounds of the procrastinations, as well as the sanctions against the judge, who does not finish the cases within a reasonable time Islande Effectiveness is important. If a judge is exceptionally slow this may have a negative effect on the assessment; but speed has to go hand in hand with quality Italie No, unless a delay was totally unjustified. Côte d'Ivoire Voir 7 Japon Assessments do not cover whether or not the manner in which a judge handled individual cases is appropriate Liechtenstein Only if there are delays in handling the cases or rendering judgements Lituanie Only if there is a violation of procedural terms fixed by law Macédoine Yes. The judge should resolve cases within a reasonable time. If this time is exceeded, the party is entitled to take action against the judge (art. 36 Law of Courts) before a higher court. Mexique It is a part of the assessment of the productivity. The time which a judge needs for settling the cases is taken into consideration. Norvège No. Pologne No Portugal Yes, if the judge does not finish the case in a reasonable time. ROC (Taiwan) The normal time for consideration of a case is 16 months. If the judge has too many delayed cases and more than the average number, this will mean he will be marked down by the Merits Committee. Slovévie Yes. The time between the end of a trial and the delivery of the judgment is monitored on a monthly basis. Suède No. Suisse Il n'y a en principe pas de référence à une ou des affaires déterminées. L'appréciation se porte sur l'ensemble de l'activité du juge	TT		
Islande Effectiveness is important. If a judge is exceptionally slow this may have a negative effect on the assessment; but speed has to go hand in hand with quality Italie No, unless a delay was totally unjustified. Côte d'Ivoire Voir 7 Japon Assessments do not cover whether or not the manner in which a judge handled individual cases is appropriate Liechtenstein Only if there are delays in handling the cases or rendering judgements Lituanie Only if there is a violation of procedural terms fixed by law Macédoine Yes. The judge should resolve cases within a reasonable time. If this time is exceeded, the party is entitled to take action against the judge (art. 36 Law of Courts) before a higher court. Mexique It is a part of the assessment of the productivity. The time which a judge needs for settling the cases is taken into consideration. Norvège No. Pologne No Portugal The normal time for consideration of a case is 16 months. If the judge has too many delayed cases and more than the average number, this will mean he will be marked down by the Merits Committee. Slovévie Yes. The time between the end of a trial and the delivery of the judgment is monitored on a monthly basis. Suède No. Suisse Il n'y a en principe pas de référence à une ou des affaires déterminées. L'appréciation se porte sur l'ensemble de l'activité du juge	Hongrie	2 4	
Islande Effectiveness is important. If a judge is exceptionally slow this may have a negative effect on the assessment; but speed has to go hand in hand with quality Italie No, unless a delay was totally unjustified. Côte d'Ivoire Voir 7 Japon Assessments do not cover whether or not the manner in which a judge handled individual cases is appropriate Liechtenstein Only if there are delays in handling the cases or rendering judgements Lituanie Only if there is a violation of procedural terms fixed by law Macédoine Yes. The judge should resolve cases within a reasonable time. If this time is exceeded, the party is entitled to take action against the judge (art. 36 Law of Courts) before a higher court. Mexique It is a part of the assessment of the productivity. The time which a judge needs for settling the cases is taken into consideration. Norvège No. Pologne No Portugal Yes, if the judge does not finish the case in a reasonable time. ROC (Taiwan) The normal time for consideration of a case is 16 months. If the judge has too many delayed cases and more than the average number, this will mean he will be marked down by the Merits Committee. Slovévie Yes. The time between the end of a trial and the delivery of the judgment is monitored on a monthly basis. Suède No. Suisse Il n'y a en principe pas de référence à une ou des affaires déterminées. L'appréciation se porte sur l'ensemble de l'activité du juge		-	
Islande Effectiveness is important. If a judge is exceptionally slow this may have a negative effect on the assessment; but speed has to go hand in hand with quality Italie No, unless a delay was totally unjustified. Côte d'Ivoire Voir 7 Japon Assessments do not cover whether or not the manner in which a judge handled individual cases is appropriate Liechtenstein Only if there are delays in handling the cases or rendering judgements Lituanie Only if there is a violation of procedural terms fixed by law Macédoine Yes. The judge should resolve cases within a reasonable time. If this time is exceeded, the party is entitled to take action against the judge (art. 36 Law of Courts) before a higher court. Mexique It is a part of the assessment of the productivity. The time which a judge needs for settling the cases is taken into consideration. Norvège No. Pologne No Portugal Yes, if the judge does not finish the case in a reasonable time. ROC (Taiwan) The normal time for consideration of a case is 16 months. If the judge has too many delayed cases and more than the average number, this will mean he will be marked down by the Merits Committee. Slovévie Yes. The time between the end of a trial and the delivery of the judgment is monitored on a monthly basis. Suède No. Suisse Il n'y a en principe pas de référence à une ou des affaires déterminées. L'appréciation se porte sur l'ensemble de l'activité du juge			
exceptionally slow this may have a negative effect on the assessment; but speed has to go hand in hand with quality Italie No, unless a delay was totally unjustified. Côte d'Ivoire Voir 7 Japon Assessments do not cover whether or not the manner in which a judge handled individual cases is appropriate Liechtenstein Only if there are delays in handling the cases or rendering judgements Lituanie Only if there is a violation of procedural terms fixed by law Macédoine Yes. The judge should resolve cases within a reasonable time. If this time is exceeded, the party is entitled to take action against the judge (art. 36 Law of Courts) before a higher court. Mexique It is a part of the assessment of the productivity. The time which a judge needs for settling the cases is taken into consideration. Norvège No. Pologne No Portugal Yes, if the judge does not finish the case in a reasonable time. ROC (Taiwan) The normal time for consideration of a case is 16 months. If the judge has too many delayed cases and more than the average number, this will mean he will be marked down by the Merits Committee. Slovévie Yes. The time between the end of a trial and the delivery of the judgment is monitored on a monthly basis. Suède No. Suisse II n'y a en principe pas de référence à une ou des affaires déterminées. L'appréciation se porte sur l'ensemble de l'activité du juge	Islande		
effect on the assessment; but speed has to go hand in hand with quality No, unless a delay was totally unjustified. Onto To Japon Assessments do not cover whether or not the manner in which a judge handled individual cases is appropriate Liechtenstein Only if there are delays in handling the cases or rendering judgements Lituanie Only if there is a violation of procedural terms fixed by law Macédoine Yes. The judge should resolve cases within a reasonable time. If this time is exceeded, the party is entitled to take action against the judge (art. 36 Law of Courts) before a higher court. Mexique It is a part of the assessment of the productivity. The time which a judge needs for settling the cases is taken into consideration. Norvège No. Pologne No Portugal Yes, if the judge does not finish the case in a reasonable time. ROC (Taiwan) The normal time for consideration of a case is 16 months. If the judge has too many delayed cases and more than the average number, this will mean he will be marked down by the Merits Committee. Slovévie Yes. The time between the end of a trial and the delivery of the judgment is monitored on a monthly basis. Suède No. Suisse Il n'y a en principe pas de référence à une ou des affaires déterminées. L'appréciation se porte sur l'ensemble de l'activité du juge	Islande	1 0 0	
Italie No, unless a delay was totally unjustified. Côte d'Ivoire Voir 7 Japon Assessments do not cover whether or not the manner in which a judge handled individual cases is appropriate Liechtenstein Only if there are delays in handling the cases or rendering judgements Lituanie Only if there is a violation of procedural terms fixed by law Macédoine Yes. The judge should resolve cases within a reasonable time. If this time is exceeded, the party is entitled to take action against the judge (art. 36 Law of Courts) before a higher court. Mexique It is a part of the assessment of the productivity. The time which a judge needs for settling the cases is taken into consideration. Norvège No. Pologne No Portugal Yes, if the judge does not finish the case in a reasonable time. ROC (Taiwan) The normal time for consideration of a case is 16 months. If the judge has too many delayed cases and more than the average number, this will mean he will be marked down by the Merits Committee. Slovévie Yes. The time between the end of a trial and the delivery of the judgment is monitored on a monthly basis. Suède No. Suisse Il n'y a en principe pas de référence à une ou des affaires déterminées. L'appréciation se porte sur l'ensemble de l'activité du juge		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Italie No, unless a delay was totally unjustified. Côte d'Ivoire Voir 7 Japon Assessments do not cover whether or not the manner in which a judge handled individual cases is appropriate Liechtenstein Only if there are delays in handling the cases or rendering judgements Lituanie Only if there is a violation of procedural terms fixed by law Macédoine Yes. The judge should resolve cases within a reasonable time. If this time is exceeded, the party is entitled to take action against the judge (art. 36 Law of Courts) before a higher court. Mexique It is a part of the assessment of the productivity. The time which a judge needs for settling the cases is taken into consideration. Norvège No. Pologne No Portugal Yes, if the judge does not finish the case in a reasonable time. ROC (Taiwan) The normal time for consideration of a case is 16 months. If the judge has too many delayed cases and more than the average number, this will mean he will be marked down by the Merits Committee. Slovévie Yes. The time between the end of a trial and the delivery of the judgment is monitored on a monthly basis. Suède No. Suisse Il n'y a en principe pas de référence à une ou des affaires déterminées. L'appréciation se porte sur l'ensemble de l'activité du juge			
Côte d'Ivoire Voir 7 Japon Assessments do not cover whether or not the manner in which a judge handled individual cases is appropriate Liechtenstein Only if there are delays in handling the cases or rendering judgements Lituanie Only if there is a violation of procedural terms fixed by law Macédoine Yes. The judge should resolve cases within a reasonable time. If this time is exceeded, the party is entitled to take action against the judge (art. 36 Law of Courts) before a higher court. Mexique It is a part of the assessment of the productivity. The time which a judge needs for settling the cases is taken into consideration. Norvège No. Pologne No Portugal Yes, if the judge does not finish the case in a reasonable time. ROC (Taiwan) The normal time for consideration of a case is 16 months. If the judge has too many delayed cases and more than the average number, this will mean he will be marked down by the Merits Committee. Slovévie Yes. The time between the end of a trial and the delivery of the judgment is monitored on a monthly basis. Suède No. Suisse Il n'y a en principe pas de référence à une ou des affaires déterminées. L'appréciation se porte sur l'ensemble de l'activité du juge	Italie		
Assessments do not cover whether or not the manner in which a judge handled individual cases is appropriate Liechtenstein Only if there are delays in handling the cases or rendering judgements Lituanie Only if there is a violation of procedural terms fixed by law Macédoine Yes. The judge should resolve cases within a reasonable time. If this time is exceeded, the party is entitled to take action against the judge (art. 36 Law of Courts) before a higher court. Mexique It is a part of the assessment of the productivity. The time which a judge needs for settling the cases is taken into consideration. Norvège No. Pologne No Portugal Yes, if the judge does not finish the case in a reasonable time. ROC (Taiwan) The normal time for consideration of a case is 16 months. If the judge has too many delayed cases and more than the average number, this will mean he will be marked down by the Merits Committee. Slovévie Yes. The time between the end of a trial and the delivery of the judgment is monitored on a monthly basis. Suède No. Suisse Il n'y a en principe pas de référence à une ou des affaires déterminées. L'appréciation se porte sur l'ensemble de l'activité du juge		· · ·	
manner in which a judge handled individual cases is appropriate Liechtenstein Only if there are delays in handling the cases or rendering judgements Lituanie Only if there is a violation of procedural terms fixed by law Macédoine Yes. The judge should resolve cases within a reasonable time. If this time is exceeded, the party is entitled to take action against the judge (art. 36 Law of Courts) before a higher court. Mexique It is a part of the assessment of the productivity. The time which a judge needs for settling the cases is taken into consideration. Norvège No. Pologne No Portugal Yes, if the judge does not finish the case in a reasonable time. ROC (Taiwan) The normal time for consideration of a case is 16 months. If the judge has too many delayed cases and more than the average number, this will mean he will be marked down by the Merits Committee. Slovévie Yes. The time between the end of a trial and the delivery of the judgment is monitored on a monthly basis. Suède No. Suisse II n'y a en principe pas de référence à une ou des affaires déterminées. L'appréciation se porte sur l'ensemble de l'activité du juge	Japon	Assessments do not cover whether or not the	
Liechtenstein Only if there are delays in handling the cases or rendering judgements Lituanie Only if there is a violation of procedural terms fixed by law Macédoine Yes. The judge should resolve cases within a reasonable time. If this time is exceeded, the party is entitled to take action against the judge (art. 36 Law of Courts) before a higher court. Mexique It is a part of the assessment of the productivity. The time which a judge needs for settling the cases is taken into consideration. Norvège No. Pologne No Portugal Yes, if the judge does not finish the case in a reasonable time. ROC (Taiwan) The normal time for consideration of a case is 16 months. If the judge has too many delayed cases and more than the average number, this will mean he will be marked down by the Merits Committee. Slovévie Yes. The time between the end of a trial and the delivery of the judgment is monitored on a monthly basis. Suède No. Suède No. Il n'y a en principe pas de référence à une ou des affaires déterminées. L'appréciation se porte sur l'ensemble de l'activité du juge		manner in which a judge handled individual	
Lituanie Only if there is a violation of procedural terms fixed by law Macédoine Yes. The judge should resolve cases within a reasonable time. If this time is exceeded, the party is entitled to take action against the judge (art. 36 Law of Courts) before a higher court. Mexique It is a part of the assessment of the productivity. The time which a judge needs for settling the cases is taken into consideration. Norvège No. Pologne No Portugal Yes, if the judge does not finish the case in a reasonable time. ROC (Taiwan) The normal time for consideration of a case is 16 months. If the judge has too many delayed cases and more than the average number, this will mean he will be marked down by the Merits Committee. Slovévie Yes. The time between the end of a trial and the delivery of the judgment is monitored on a monthly basis. Suède No. Suisse Il n'y a en principe pas de référence à une ou des affaires déterminées. L'appréciation se porte sur l'ensemble de l'activité du juge		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Lituanie Only if there is a violation of procedural terms fixed by law Macédoine Yes. The judge should resolve cases within a reasonable time. If this time is exceeded, the party is entitled to take action against the judge (art. 36 Law of Courts) before a higher court. Mexique It is a part of the assessment of the productivity. The time which a judge needs for settling the cases is taken into consideration. Norvège No. Pologne No Portugal Yes, if the judge does not finish the case in a reasonable time. ROC (Taiwan) The normal time for consideration of a case is 16 months. If the judge has too many delayed cases and more than the average number, this will mean he will be marked down by the Merits Committee. Slovévie Yes. The time between the end of a trial and the delivery of the judgment is monitored on a monthly basis. Suède No. Suisse II n'y a en principe pas de référence à une ou des affaires déterminées. L'appréciation se porte sur l'ensemble de l'activité du juge	Liechtenstein	Only if there are delays in handling the cases	
fixed by law Macédoine Yes. The judge should resolve cases within a reasonable time. If this time is exceeded, the party is entitled to take action against the judge (art. 36 Law of Courts) before a higher court. Mexique It is a part of the assessment of the productivity. The time which a judge needs for settling the cases is taken into consideration. Norvège No. Pologne No Portugal Yes, if the judge does not finish the case in a reasonable time. ROC (Taiwan) The normal time for consideration of a case is 16 months. If the judge has too many delayed cases and more than the average number, this will mean he will be marked down by the Merits Committee. Slovévie Yes. The time between the end of a trial and the delivery of the judgment is monitored on a monthly basis. Suède No. Suisse Il n'y a en principe pas de référence à une ou des affaires déterminées. L'appréciation se porte sur l'ensemble de l'activité du juge		or rendering judgements	
Macédoine Yes. The judge should resolve cases within a reasonable time. If this time is exceeded, the party is entitled to take action against the judge (art. 36 Law of Courts) before a higher court. Mexique It is a part of the assessment of the productivity. The time which a judge needs for settling the cases is taken into consideration. Norvège No. Pologne No Portugal Yes, if the judge does not finish the case in a reasonable time. ROC (Taiwan) The normal time for consideration of a case is 16 months. If the judge has too many delayed cases and more than the average number, this will mean he will be marked down by the Merits Committee. Slovévie Yes. The time between the end of a trial and the delivery of the judgment is monitored on a monthly basis. Suède No. Suisse Il n'y a en principe pas de référence à une ou des affaires déterminées. L'appréciation se porte sur l'ensemble de l'activité du juge	Lituanie	Only if there is a violation of procedural terms	
reasonable time. If this time is exceeded, the party is entitled to take action against the judge (art. 36 Law of Courts) before a higher court. Mexique It is a part of the assessment of the productivity. The time which a judge needs for settling the cases is taken into consideration. Norvège No. Pologne No Portugal Yes, if the judge does not finish the case in a reasonable time. ROC (Taiwan) The normal time for consideration of a case is 16 months. If the judge has too many delayed cases and more than the average number, this will mean he will be marked down by the Merits Committee. Slovévie Yes. The time between the end of a trial and the delivery of the judgment is monitored on a monthly basis. Suède No. Suisse II n'y a en principe pas de référence à une ou des affaires déterminées. L'appréciation se porte sur l'ensemble de l'activité du juge			
party is entitled to take action against the judge (art. 36 Law of Courts) before a higher court. Mexique It is a part of the assessment of the productivity. The time which a judge needs for settling the cases is taken into consideration. Norvège No. Pologne No Portugal Yes, if the judge does not finish the case in a reasonable time. ROC (Taiwan) The normal time for consideration of a case is 16 months. If the judge has too many delayed cases and more than the average number, this will mean he will be marked down by the Merits Committee. Slovévie Yes. The time between the end of a trial and the delivery of the judgment is monitored on a monthly basis. Suède No. Suisse Il n'y a en principe pas de référence à une ou des affaires déterminées. L'appréciation se porte sur l'ensemble de l'activité du juge	Macédoine		
Mexique It is a part of the assessment of the productivity. The time which a judge needs for settling the cases is taken into consideration. Norvège No. Pologne No Portugal Yes, if the judge does not finish the case in a reasonable time. ROC (Taiwan) The normal time for consideration of a case is 16 months. If the judge has too many delayed cases and more than the average number, this will mean he will be marked down by the Merits Committee. Slovévie Yes. The time between the end of a trial and the delivery of the judgment is monitored on a monthly basis. Suède No. Suisse Il n'y a en principe pas de référence à une ou des affaires déterminées. L'appréciation se porte sur l'ensemble de l'activité du juge			
Mexique It is a part of the assessment of the productivity. The time which a judge needs for settling the cases is taken into consideration. Norvège No. Pologne No Portugal Yes, if the judge does not finish the case in a reasonable time. ROC (Taiwan) The normal time for consideration of a case is 16 months. If the judge has too many delayed cases and more than the average number, this will mean he will be marked down by the Merits Committee. Slovévie Yes. The time between the end of a trial and the delivery of the judgment is monitored on a monthly basis. Suède No. Suisse Il n'y a en principe pas de référence à une ou des affaires déterminées. L'appréciation se porte sur l'ensemble de l'activité du juge			
productivity. The time which a judge needs for settling the cases is taken into consideration. Norvège No. Pologne No Portugal Yes, if the judge does not finish the case in a reasonable time. ROC (Taiwan) The normal time for consideration of a case is 16 months. If the judge has too many delayed cases and more than the average number, this will mean he will be marked down by the Merits Committee. Slovévie Yes. The time between the end of a trial and the delivery of the judgment is monitored on a monthly basis. Suède No. Suisse Il n'y a en principe pas de référence à une ou des affaires déterminées. L'appréciation se porte sur l'ensemble de l'activité du juge			
Settling the cases is taken into consideration. Norvège No. Pologne No Portugal Yes, if the judge does not finish the case in a reasonable time. ROC (Taiwan) The normal time for consideration of a case is 16 months. If the judge has too many delayed cases and more than the average number, this will mean he will be marked down by the Merits Committee. Slovévie Yes. The time between the end of a trial and the delivery of the judgment is monitored on a monthly basis. Suède No. Suisse Il n'y a en principe pas de référence à une ou des affaires déterminées. L'appréciation se porte sur l'ensemble de l'activité du juge	Mexique	_	
Norvège No. Pologne No Portugal Yes, if the judge does not finish the case in a reasonable time. ROC (Taiwan) The normal time for consideration of a case is 16 months. If the judge has too many delayed cases and more than the average number, this will mean he will be marked down by the Merits Committee. Slovévie Yes. The time between the end of a trial and the delivery of the judgment is monitored on a monthly basis. Suède No. Suisse II n'y a en principe pas de référence à une ou des affaires déterminées. L'appréciation se porte sur l'ensemble de l'activité du juge			
Pologne Portugal Yes, if the judge does not finish the case in a reasonable time. ROC (Taiwan) The normal time for consideration of a case is 16 months. If the judge has too many delayed cases and more than the average number, this will mean he will be marked down by the Merits Committee. Slovévie Yes. The time between the end of a trial and the delivery of the judgment is monitored on a monthly basis. Suède No. Suisse Il n'y a en principe pas de référence à une ou des affaires déterminées. L'appréciation se porte sur l'ensemble de l'activité du juge	Mamilan		
Portugal Yes, if the judge does not finish the case in a reasonable time. ROC (Taiwan) The normal time for consideration of a case is 16 months. If the judge has too many delayed cases and more than the average number, this will mean he will be marked down by the Merits Committee. Slovévie Yes. The time between the end of a trial and the delivery of the judgment is monitored on a monthly basis. Suède No. Suisse Il n'y a en principe pas de référence à une ou des affaires déterminées. L'appréciation se porte sur l'ensemble de l'activité du juge			
reasonable time. ROC (Taiwan) The normal time for consideration of a case is 16 months. If the judge has too many delayed cases and more than the average number, this will mean he will be marked down by the Merits Committee. Slovévie Yes. The time between the end of a trial and the delivery of the judgment is monitored on a monthly basis. Suède No. Suisse Il n'y a en principe pas de référence à une ou des affaires déterminées. L'appréciation se porte sur l'ensemble de l'activité du juge			
ROC (Taiwan) The normal time for consideration of a case is 16 months. If the judge has too many delayed cases and more than the average number, this will mean he will be marked down by the Merits Committee. Slovévie Yes. The time between the end of a trial and the delivery of the judgment is monitored on a monthly basis. Suède No. Suisse Il n'y a en principe pas de référence à une ou des affaires déterminées. L'appréciation se porte sur l'ensemble de l'activité du juge	1 Ortugal	ů č	
16 months. If the judge has too many delayed cases and more than the average number, this will mean he will be marked down by the Merits Committee. Slovévie Yes. The time between the end of a trial and the delivery of the judgment is monitored on a monthly basis. Suède No. Suisse Il n'y a en principe pas de référence à une ou des affaires déterminées. L'appréciation se porte sur l'ensemble de l'activité du juge	ROC (Taiwan)		
cases and more than the average number, this will mean he will be marked down by the Merits Committee. Slovévie Yes. The time between the end of a trial and the delivery of the judgment is monitored on a monthly basis. Suède No. Suisse II n'y a en principe pas de référence à une ou des affaires déterminées. L'appréciation se porte sur l'ensemble de l'activité du juge	(Taiwaii)		
will mean he will be marked down by the Merits Committee. Slovévie Yes. The time between the end of a trial and the delivery of the judgment is monitored on a monthly basis. Suède No. Suisse II n'y a en principe pas de référence à une ou des affaires déterminées. L'appréciation se porte sur l'ensemble de l'activité du juge			
Merits Committee. Slovévie Yes. The time between the end of a trial and the delivery of the judgment is monitored on a monthly basis. Suède No. Suisse Il n'y a en principe pas de référence à une ou des affaires déterminées. L'appréciation se porte sur l'ensemble de l'activité du juge		_	
Slovévie Yes. The time between the end of a trial and the delivery of the judgment is monitored on a monthly basis. Suède No. Suisse Il n'y a en principe pas de référence à une ou des affaires déterminées. L'appréciation se porte sur l'ensemble de l'activité du juge			
the delivery of the judgment is monitored on a monthly basis. Suède No. Suisse Il n'y a en principe pas de référence à une ou des affaires déterminées. L'appréciation se porte sur l'ensemble de l'activité du juge	Slovévie		
monthly basis. Suède No. Suisse Il n'y a en principe pas de référence à une ou des affaires déterminées. L'appréciation se porte sur l'ensemble de l'activité du juge			
Suède No. Suisse Il n'y a en principe pas de référence à une ou des affaires déterminées. L'appréciation se porte sur l'ensemble de l'activité du juge			
des affaires déterminées. L'appréciation se porte sur l'ensemble de l'activité du juge	Suède		
des affaires déterminées. L'appréciation se porte sur l'ensemble de l'activité du juge	Suisse		
* *			
concerné.			
I .		concerné.	

Royaume uni	In civil and administrative cases at first instance and with all types of case on appeal, a court is expected to deliver its judgment either straight away (ex tempore) or within 3 months of the conclusion of the hearing, of whatever type – trial or application - unless it is a particularly long/complicated. A judge is obliged to report to the Lord Chief Justice all instances where a judgment has been delayed beyond 3 months and to explain the reason for the delay. If a judge is habitually late in delivering judgments, this could be taken into	
	account in any assessment.	

9 Comment les juges qui siègent sont-ils évalués?

Pays	Réponses aux questions	Remarques additionnelles
Autriche	See questions above	-
Belgique	Cf. réponses aux questions 3 à 8 ci-dessus.	
Brésil	The assessment has been other than within the	
	court, but recently the possibility to review the	
	assessment by he National Council of Justice	
	or an ex officio assessment by the NCJ was	
	introduced.	
Bulgarie	All judges are assessed under the same	
	procedure	
Canada	Not applicable	
Croatie	See 4 and 5 above.	
Rép. tchèque	Judges may be assessed by the president of the	
	relevant court, the appellate court or the	
	judicial council.	
Chypre	All judges sit in court. No formal assessment.	
Estonie	There is no assessment. In reality however, the	
	head of the court analyses the statistics of the	
	duration of cases, the number of cases etc.	
	Unreasonable duration of a case can be a basis	
	for imposing disciplinary punishment.	
Allemagne	All judges must sit in court apart from some	
	judges who are delegated to the Ministry of	
	Justice.	
Hongrie	50 cases which were finished with final	
	judgement are analysed in detail, chosen with	
	the help of the monthly statistics, the hearing	
	of trials and the complaints against the judge.	
	Every assessment must be founded on facts	
	only.	
Islande	There is no regular assessment	
Italie	There is an assessment by the District Judicial	
	Council, based on the report of the First	
	President of the particular court, which will	
	report on the judge's capability and daily	
	performance in court.	
Côte d'Ivoire	Ils sont évalués selon la méthode indiquée ci-	
	dessus comme tous les autres magistrats	
Japon	There may by information obtained from other	

	judges in the panel, or from court clerks; information from outside may be considered if	
	there are underlying facts, that are proofed	
Liechtenstein	See above	
Lituanie	The results of the periodical assessment are	
	kept in the personal file of the judge and might	
	be considered if this judge seeks promotion.	
	There might be a recommendation to the	
	institution responsible for the training to	
	organize a training on certain topics	
Macédoine	Reports referring to a specific time interval (1	
	to 3 months) in which the number of annulled,	
	confirmed and solved cases is stated.	
Mexique	Judicial councils, judges and ex judges take	
	part in the assessments. Moreover there are	
	"visitadores" who are responsible for the	
	supervision in expert/professional matters	
	moreover there are the "contralores" who are	
	responsible for the supervision in	
	administrative matters.	
Norvège	There is no assessment.	
Pologne	There is generally no formal assessment of judges in court.	
Portugal	See above. Judges are not directly assessed	
	while sitting in court.	
ROC (Taiwan)	All judges who sit in court are assessed, in	
	particular their behaviour whilst dealing with	
	cases. The court advocates have also set up an	
	assessment system, which is taken into account	
01 (:	by the Yuan.	
Slovénie	Every 6 months there is an assessment for the	
Suède	judges. There is no assessment.	
Suisse	Cf. ci-dessus.	
Royaume uni	All judges sit in court. There is no formal	
Koyaume um	assessment of the manner in which judges	
	conduct proceedings in court. But if a judge	
	has conducted a trial in an unreasonable or	
	apparently biased manner that may be a ground	
	of appeal and this would therefore be assessed	
	by the appeal court.	

10 Quelles sont les conséquences de cette évaluation ?

Pays	Réponses aux questions	Remarques additionnelles
Autriche	The assessments may be used, when the judge applies for another position, when the "Personalsenat" has to rank the applicants for the vacant position. If the overall assessment end with a mark less than good, there is an assessment for every following year; if the mark is that a judge is found as incapable during two consecutive periods, the judge will loose his office.	

Belgique	Evaluation périodique: si un magistrat a obtenu la mention "insuffisante", cela entraîne la perte pendant 6 mois de la dernière majoration triennale de traitement, sans préjudice des conséquences sur le plan disciplinaire. Nouvelle évaluation après 6 mois. Si le magistrat n'obtient pas au moins la mention "bon", il subira la même perte de traitement pendant 6 nouveaux mois.	
Brésil	See answers above	
Bulgarie	A negative assessment means that the promotion to the position one applies for will be denied; that the status of irremovability will not be gained, which means that one will be expelled	
Canada	The results of informal assessments will depend on the context in which the assessment was undertaken. If it is a result of some complaint and the judge ignores/fails to follow the advice or suggestions of a Chief Justice, then that may result in the judge's assignments being limited to areas where there are no known competence problems.	
Croatie	A judge who is assessed favourably may be promoted to a more senior post (if vacant) for which the judge has applied.	The body responsible for appointment and promotion of judges is the State Judicial Council which consists of 7 judges, 2 lawyers and two law professors.
Chypre	A judge who is assessed favourably may be promoted to a more senior post in preference to a judge with longer service.	
Rép. tchèque	The assessment may have consequences depending upon the circumstances in which it was undertaken: for disciplinary reasons; for possible promotion or simply to enable a judge to know how well he is performing his tasks.	
Estonie	Voir au-dessus.	
Allemagne	`The assessment determines whether or not a judge will be promoted.	
Hongrie	The result of the assessment can be taken into account when appointing judges to the status of immovability or to a higher position. A judge who was assessed as disable may be dismissed	
Islande	Ne joue pas un role.	
Italie	There are two possible situations in which this can be relevant: (i) the advancement in rank; and (ii) the actual appointment to a higher position – e.g. to the Appeal Court. In the first case the approval of the District Judicial Council is mandatory before the judge can be promoted to a higher rank. In the second case a positive evaluation by the District Judicial Council is an advantage to winning the	

	competitive examination of the CSM.	
Côte d'ivoire	Cette évaluation permet de faire l'avancement	
	et la promotion des juges	
Japon	Assessments are documented	There is no scale, but a text indicating the result of the assessment
Liechtenstein	If delays are discovered the judge may have to report periodically on the reduction of this delays, in serious cases there may be a report to the disciplinary court	
Lituanie	The results of the periodical assessments are kept in the personal file of the judge and may be used when the judge seeks judicial promotion There may be a proposal to the institution responsible for the training of judges to organize a training on certain topics.	
Macédoine	If a judge is found disciplinarily liable, the Judicial Council can apply various sanctions: written notice, public reprimand, decrease of the judge's salary (15-30%) for 1-6 months. If malpractice in the execution of the judicial function is established, the Council can ask the judge to resign.	
Mexique	removal, suspension, change of tribunal, sanctions for misuse of authority and failure to perform the function of judge etc.	
Norvège	Pas de conséquences.	
Pologne	It can affect the chances of promotion	
Portugal	The progression on the judge's career depends on the results of the assessments (the tenure of office is considered, too). A favourable assessment may promote the judge to a senior post or a higher court. A judge whose assessment is "unsatisfactory" is suspended from his functions while an inquiry on his aptitude is under way.	
ROC (Taiwan)	It does have an influence on the decision of the Yuan as to appointment of a judge to another position or function.	
Slovénie	The assessment is crucial for the question whether a judge will be promoted or not (or even if he will be eliminated from judicial function).	
Suède	Rien.	
Suisse	Une éventuelle évaluation négative pourrait avoir pour conséquence la non-re-nomination ou la non-réélection d'un juge.	Ce sont des cas extrêmement rares.
Royaume uni	The informal assessments will be considered when there is a vacancy for a higher court. If the assessment has been undertaken because of a complaint about a judge's handling of a case, then the result of the assessment may be disciplinary action against a judge.	Since April 2006 a new body has been responsible for making recommendations for promotion – the Judicial Appointments Commission. It has not yet published details of the procedure it will follow for determining its

	recommendations	for
	promotion. The final de-	cision
	and recommendation to	o the
	Queen is still made by	y the
	Lord Chancellor and	Prime
	Minister.	

11 Existe-t-il un lien entre évaluation et rémunération?

Pays	Réponses aux questions	Remarques additionnelles
Autriche	No, except that to get from level 3 to level 4 in	(within a scheme of 8 levels
	the remuneration scheme your performance	judges are promoted
	must not be lower than average	automatically form one level
		to the next every 4 th year in
		office)
Belgique	Oui. Voir réponse à la question 10 ci-dessus.	
Brésil	No (indirectly a good assessment gives better	
	chance to get a higher (better paid) position	
Bulgarie	No	
Canada	No. All judges are paid the same except for	
	Associate Chief Justices, Chief Justices and	
	Judges of the Supreme Court of Canada, each	
	of whom is paid the same as the others in the	
C '	same group.	
Croatie	No	
Chypre	No. But if a judge is promoted he receives higher remuneration.	
Rép. tchèque	At present, no. But there is political pressure to	
1 1	have some kind of link.	
Estonie	No.	
Allemagene	No.	
Hongire	No.	
Islande	No.	
Italie	No	
Côte d'Ivoire	Il n'y de lien entre l'évaluation et la	
	rémunération de façon directe mais la	
	rémunération est fonction du grade or les	
	avancements en grade sont faits sur la bases	
	des évaluations on peut donc en déduire que	
	les rémunérations sont liées aux évaluations.	
Japon	Only for high ranking judges assessment may	
	lead to an increase of pay	
Liechtenstein	No.	
Lituanie	No.	
Macédoine	The achieved results in the execution of the	
	judicial function influence the fixation of the	
	salary of a judge (art. 60 Law of Courts).	
Mexique	No.	
Norvège	No.	
Pologne	No	
Portugal	No.	
ROC (Taiwan)	No	
Slovénie	No, but if a judge is promoted to a higher post,	
	he receives higher remuneration.	

Suède	Yes, see above.	
Suisse	Non.	
Royaume uni	No, but if a judge is promoted he receives	
	higher remuneration.	

12 Voyez-vous une atteinte à l'indépendance judiciaire dans l'évaluation des juges qui est faite dans votre pays? SVP, expliquez.

Pays	Réponses aux questions	Remarques additionnelles
Autriche	No, because it is performed by a panel of	
	judges	
Belgique	Dans la mesure où l'évaluation des juges est	
	effectuée en interne, c-à-d. au sein du pouvoir	
	judiciaire, sans aucune intervention des autres	
	pouvoirs, et où les conséquences des cette	
	évaluation sont limitées, il ne paraît pas qu'elle	
	(l'évaluation) mette en cause l'indépendance	
	judiciaire ou y porte atteinte.	
Brésil	Sometimes the internal independence within	
	the own tribunal is more crucial than the	
	external independence; one pint is the	
	subjective aspects of promotion for superior	
	posts	
Bulgarie	No, on the contrary it leads to greater	
	discipline, higher success and improvement of	
	the public confidence in the judiciary	
Canada	No	
Croatie	It is not possible to give a plain and simple	
	answer to this question.	
Chypre	No, because the assessment is made by the	
	Supreme Judicial Council, which consists of	
	judges.	
Rép. tchèque	The quality of work is assessed by judges so it	
	should not be a problem. However there is	
	some uncertainty and concern at the power of	
	the Ministry of Justice. The position of the	
	presidents of courts needs to be made more	
	responsible as well as more independent of the	
	Ministry.	
Estonie	There is a plan to introduce an annual	
	assessment of every single judge. The	
	assessment will be based on quality and	
	quantity of cases (duration, complaints of the	
	parties to a hearing against the judge etc.). The	
	assessment could be a basis for the removal	
	from office of the judge. Moreover, every year	
	Parliament will have the right to make a	
	proposition to remove the Chief Justice of the	
	Supreme Court from office. These plans could	
	infringe on the independence of the judiciary.	
	The next year will show if these plans are to be	
	realized. The author of these ideas is the actual	
	Chief Justice of the Supreme Court, a former	
	politician. The Chief Justice is appointed by	

	Parliament on the proposal of the President of the Republic for tenure of 9 years.	
Allemagne	No	
Hongrie	No there is not any infringement; there cannot be	
Islande	No	In a small society any assessment may have some effect on reputation
Italie	No	
Côte d'Ivoire	Le système actuel de notation comporte trop d'éléments subjectifs relevant de l'appréciation discrétionnaire de celui qui a le pouvoir de noter. Ceci peut conduire certains magistrats à plaire au supérieur hiérarchique pour être bien noté, sacrifiant ainsi son indépendance	
Japon	No	
Liechtenstein	No	A new law will guarantee that evaluation is performed by judges only, and that the contents decisions of judges must not be evaluated
Lithuanie	Legal regulation of assessment does not infringe the independence if it is carried out by the judiciary itself	
Macédoine	No, except if the assessment on his/her work influences his/her judgments.	
Mexique	No, because the assessment is restricted to the quality of the judicial function and the administrative skills.	"No, ya que únicamente se limitan a evaluar lo realtive a la calidad de su functión jurisdiccional y dministra- iva."
Norvège	No.	
Pologne	No	
Portugal	No. The HCJ is a independent body.	
ROC (Taiwan)	No	
Slovénie	No, because the assessment is made by a Personal Council of a certain court. The Personal Council consists of judges only.	
Suède	Before salary negotiations an assessment has to be made by the chief judge for the parties to the labour market. Therefore the individual salary level becomes a control instrument for the employer or the body of decision. If a judge needs to be assessed as skilful in order to receive a higher salary or a bonus this might influence him/her to subconsciously subordinate him/herself to his/her colleagues' and chiefs' opinions instead of using his/her own judgment in the judging activities. A skilful judge who works slower but more safely than others might become a victim when productivity and efficiency through experience, taking on more responsibilities or more difficult assignments are considered the most desirable thing in the assessments. There	

	is an increased focus on productivity and efficiency and quantitative goals. In the budget the fulfilment of goals is connected to the distribution of funds to the courts. This link endangers the independence of the judges. This kind of criterion leads to an indirect quantitative assessment of the judges.	
Suisse	La risque d'une atteinte à l'indépendance peut devenir réel dans la mesure où l'évaluation à laquelle procède l'autorité politique lors de la réélection d'un juge devait aboutir à la mise à l'écart de celui-ci pour des motifs liés à des prises de position du juge concerné où à sa participation à des décisions dans tel ou tel domaine ayant un certain retentissement médiatique.	Une récente décision du Tribunal fédéral ayant trait à l'application de la disposition sur la discrimination raciale a déclenché des remous suite aux menaces proférées par un parti politique d'extrême droite de s'opposer à la réélection de juges ayant participés à la décision en cause.
Royaume uni	Not at present.	

13 Quel sujet proposez-vous pour la prochaine réunion ?

Pays	Réponses aux questions	Remarques additionnelles
Autriche	Judges and Public Prosecutors	
Brésil	Judicial hierarchy and aspects of internal	
	independence – conscientiousness of the judge	
	versus legal security	
Bulgarie	Structure and manner of establishment of	
	Supreme Judicial Councils	
Canada	Judicial remuneration	
Rép. tchèque	Uniformity of Jurisdiction – reason and limits	
Allemagne	(i) Ethics of judges and public prosecutors;	
	(ii) the impact of IT on the work of judges.	
Hongrie	The judges Code of Ethics in practice	
Islande	The appointment process for international	
	courts	
Italie	The transfer of magistrates – whether	
	requested by themselves or by the	
	administration.	
Côte d'ivoire	modes de nomination des juges et	
	indépendance de la justice	
Macédoine	Monitoring of the cases.	
	Specialization in judicial education.	
	Salary of the judges and impartiality in the	
	execution of the judicial function.	
Mexique	The Evaluation of the oral judgments.	"La evaluación de los juicios
		orales.
Portugal	The judge's appointment to superior courts.	
Slovénie	The structure/composition of the Judicial	
	Council and the independence of judges.	
Suisse	Les limites fixées à la haute surveillance de la	
	justice par le pouvoir politique.	
Royaume uni	Legislature or judiciary – who should have the	
	ultimate decision in a democracy?	

B. CONCLUSIONS

Note : Nous ne traiterons pas de la question de la nomination initiale du juge, parce que le but du présent rapport est de discuter de l'évaluation en vue du changement de fonction. La question de la première nomination a déjà fait l'objet de nombreuses discussions à la 1ère commission.

- 1) Le devoir essentiel de la Justice est de permettre l'application de la Loi et de mettre le juge en situation de régler les litiges entre les parties, de présider correctement une audience et, si nécessaire, de statuer sur une plainte / une action dirigée contre une personne, ainsi que, cela est très important, de protéger les droits de l'homme et les libertés fondamentales. Ce devoir doit être accompli avec efficacité et efficience. Il est de la responsabilité du juge de s'assurer que ces objectifs sont atteints. Pour cela les juges possèdent et doivent appliquer leurs connaissances spécialisées, leur expérience et leurs capacités. En outre, les juges doivent agir de façon indépendante et impartiale. Ils ne doivent subir aucune influence, que ce soit des autres pouvoirs de l'Etat, d'autres influences extérieures ou de celles du pouvoir judiciaire lui-même. Tout système d'évaluation des magistrats, dont le but est d'indiquer comment les juges accomplissent leur travail après leur désignation initiale, doit tenir compte non seulement des devoirs des juges, mais aussi absolument respecter leur indépendance et leur impartialité.
- 2) Les juges en ce qu'ils sont soit inamovibles / installés de façon permanente dans leurs fonctions, soit nommés pour une durée déterminée, ne peuvent être mutés / radiés que s'ils se sont rendus coupables d'une faute disciplinaire grave ou s'ils se trouvent dans l'incapacité d'exercer leurs fonctions, et selon une procédure préexistante fixée par la Loi.
- 3) Compte tenu des garanties de stabilité accordées aux juges dans l'exercice de leurs fonctions, il est extrêmement important qu'il y ait une procédure de sélection entièrement objective, afin de pouvoir choisir les personnes les plus aptes parmi celles qui se portent candidates à une fonction. Ne doivent être sélectionnés comme juges que les candidats qui ont montré qu'ils ont les connaissances les plus approfondies du droit et ceux qui possèdent les capacités de juger (par exemple, la capacité d'agir d'une manière déterminée et de communiquer, d'organiser sa vie professionnelle etc.).
- 4) De même, il est important que la question de la nomination des juges à une autre fonction ne soit basée que sur les mérites et les capacités des candidats. Les résultats de l'évaluation du juge dans ses fonctions actuelles peuvent néanmoins jouer un rôle important dans le processus de sélection.
- 5) Toute ingérence quelconque des autres pouvoirs de l'Etat dans l'évaluation des juges doit être prohibée. Elle violerait le principe de la séparation des pouvoirs et de l'indépendance judiciaire.
- 6) Le risque existe que ces évaluations ne soient utilisées pour imposer à un juge de quitter son poste. C'est pourquoi, les questions de la révocation du juge (pour défaut de compétence) et celle de l'évaluation courante doivent être traitées de façon indépendante.
- 7) Pour éviter tous préjugés, et exclure toute influence externe ou interne qui pourrait mettre en danger l'indépendance de la procédure d'évaluation ou l'impartialité du notateur, les évaluations doivent être effectuées selon une procédure transparente. Cette procédure doit appliquer des critères clairs définis préalablement. Elle doit aboutir à une décision motivée, remise au juge concerné et ouverte à recours. Certains systèmes d'évaluations reposent trop largement sur des éléments subjectifs qui donnent à l'évaluateur / commission d'évaluation un large pouvoir discrétionnaire. Cela pourrait pousser un juge à vouloir "plaire" aux évaluateurs en vue de recevoir une "bonne note".
- 8) La motivation / le bien-fondé d'un jugement ne peut pas faire partie de l'évaluation d'un juge à moins qu'il ne résulte clairement de l'évaluation que le juge semble arriver à des conclusions de droit

incorrectes dans des cas si nombreux que cela n'est plus acceptable. La motivation / le bien-fondé d'un jugement ne peut être revu que par une cour supérieure.

- 9) Il faut se garder des fausses conclusions que l'on pourrait tirer du fait que les décisions d'un juge ont été infirmées ou cassées par une cour supérieure. Ce fait ne signifie pas que le juge en question ne soit pas compétent.
- 10) Si la productivité et "l'efficacité managériale" peuvent être des paramètres clés pour une évaluation, un juge capable qui travaille plus lentement, mais de façon plus consciencieuse que les autres peut devenir la victime de sa façon de travailler. En plus si ces paramètres / buts sont retenus pour déterminer le budget des juridictions ou plus largement de la Justice entière, cela augmente la pression sur les tribunaux. Des exigences de ce genre seraient inappropriées si elles s'appliquent à un juge en particulier et elles menaceraient alors l'indépendance judiciaire.
- 11) La durée du traitement d'une affaire ou d'une procédure ne doit pas en soi être un critère significatif de l'évaluation d'un juge à l'exception des cas extrêmes. Pour être efficace et travailler avec succès, un juge doit essayer de parvenir à ce qu'il considère comme étant la solution correcte dans le temps le plus bref possible et de fournir des motifs adéquats pour le cas en cause. Tout commentaire sur cet aspect du travail du juge est complexe. Souvent le nombre des cas traités dans un certain laps de temps n'est qu'un indice concernant la performance d'un juge. C'est pourquoi qu'il faut être prudent avec la statistique.
- 12) Le résultat d'une évaluation ne doit pas influencer la rémunération des juges.² Si un juge doit être évalué, même par ses pairs, pour qu'il obtienne une rémunération plus importante ou un bonus il peut être poussé à plaire à l'évaluateur, même en ce qui concerne les jugements qu'il rend.
- 13) Dans les limites relevées ci-dessus, la procédure d'évaluation d'un juge lors de son activité professionnelle peut représenter un moyen valable de lui faire prendre conscience de sa propre valeur et de lui signaler individuellement les améliorations possibles dans ses performances. L'évaluation peut aussi aider à trouver le meilleur candidat pour une promotion.
- 14.) Dans ce sens l'évaluation judiciaire (dans les limites discutées ci-dessus) peut aider à renforcer la confiance du public dans la Justice des sociétés démocratiques.

C. SUJET DE L'ANNEE 2007

«L'accès à la Justice»

Siofók, Hongrie, le 01 octobre 2006

La Présidence de la 1^{ère} Commission d'étude de l'U.I.M.

Stephan Gass stephan.gass@fhnw.ch

Gerhard Reissner g.reissner@gmx.de

Richard Aikens mrjustice.aikens@judiciary.gsi.gov.uk