It was decided by the First Study Commission of the IAJ held in Marrakech Morocco that the following topic will be discussed in the 2019 conference:

“Social media and the judiciary, notably:
1. How best to respond to unfair comments about judges made on social media;
2. Use of social media by judges and courts: opportunity or danger?”

The use of social media is widespread in society today. Although there is a general perception that they are more frequently used by the younger generations, that is to say, by the so-called “digital natives”, their users include older people, corporations, politicians and public agencies as well.

Social media can be a practical, fast and inexpensive tool for making contact to other people and for exchanging information, but it can also be a means of disseminating inappropriate and offensive ideas and behaviors.

In order to understand to what extent this scenario may affect the activity of judges and courts, we present the following questions:

1. What is the best way to respond to unfair comments about judges made on social media? Should the response be given through social media itself or should it be given by other means? Who should be responsible for this response, the judges themselves or someone on their behalf? If possible, kindly provide examples of cases in which said responses were given and what were the results and outcome (good or bad) of said responses accordingly.
To give a real example: The presiding judge of the competent chamber of the Court of Appeal was targeted by a so-called shit storm on Facebook, after his court had imposed a sentence on a pedophile which was considered to be too lenient. After that the presiding judge gave an interview to the mainstream media, where he defended the criticized judgment. However, according to the Information act this would have been the task of a generally appointed information officer of the court. Nevertheless the shit storm in the social media ended afterwards.

2. Are there rules in your country defining how to respond to unfair comments about judges made on social media? If so, are those rules determined by some higher body of the judiciary and are they binding?

No.

3. Do the courts of your country use social media as a means of communication? If so, which ones are used (e.g. Facebook, Instagram, Twitter or others)? In general, what type of information is disseminated by the courts through social media? If possible, kindly give examples. Do the courts have any communication assistance for this purpose? Are there rules that establish what contents can be released by the courts through social media? If so, who defines these rules? Have the courts of your country ever suffered criticism for any content published on social media? If so, kindly give examples.

No.

4. Are judges in your country allowed to use social media in their private lives? If not, where is this prohibition stated and determined? If so, are there any rules setting limits for the expression of judges on social media and accordingly what are these limits?

This is not forbidden. There are no specific rules for the use of social media by judges on a private basis. But applicable is the general rule in Art 19 para 4 of the Judicial Service Act (RDG), according to which judges have also regarding their extrajudicial activities to behave without blame and to omit everything, that could impair the trust in the
judiciary. A breach of this duty by an inappropriate use of social media could prompt disciplinary proceedings and lead to an administrative sanction or, if sufficiently severe, even to a disciplinary penalty.

5. Have there ever been cases in your country where judges were criticized by the press or had disciplinary problems because of the content of their posts on social media? If so, kindly give examples. Have there ever been cases of judges who have been disciplined because of those posts? Is there a disciplinary body in charge of imposing sanctions on judges in such cases?

This hasn’t happened in Liechtenstein up to now. For such cases there would be different disciplinary tribunals, depending on which judge of which instance committed the breach of duty by using social media in an inappropriate way.
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